## Interview Summary

Application No. **09/669,815** 

Applicant(s)

Y. IMANISHI et al.

Examiner

Kishor Mayekar

Art Unit 1753

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
(1) Kishor Mayekar	(3)
(2) S. Burr	(4)
Date of Interview Apr 23, 2003	
Type: a) ☑ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2	)☐ applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) . Yes e	No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: 11 and 12	
Identification of prior art discussed:	
Agreement with respect to the claims $f(X)$ was reached. $g(X)$ was not reached. $g(X)$ N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:	
The Attorney called this morning that the restriction made on 4/16/03 had not mentioned the group for claims 11 and 12.	
The Examiner agrees to resend a second restriction and to reset the date because of the incomplete fisrt restriction.	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	
i) 🛮 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).	
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached	
Superior Many Van anna sina ship Sa	
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signature, if required